


School District of Milton Board of Education


Financing a Facility Referendum May 22, 2017

Lisa Voisin, Managing Director
414-765-3801
lvoisin@rwbaird.com



Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated ("Baird") is not recommending any action to you. Baird is not acting as an advisor to you and does not owe you a fiduciary duty pursuant to Section 15B of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Baird is acting for its own interests. You should discuss the information contained herein with any and all internal or external advisors and experts you deem appropriate before acting on the information. Baird seeks to serve as an underwriter (or placement agent) on a future transaction and not as a financial advisor or municipal advisor. The primary role of an underwriter (or placement agent) is to purchase, or arrange for the placement of, securities in an arm's length commercial transaction with the issuer, and it has financial and other interests that differ from those of the issuer. The information provided is for discussion purposes only, in seeking to serve as underwriter (or placement agent). See "Important Disclosures" contained herein.

Total 2016-17 School Tax Levy




Understanding “Mill Rate”


- Total Levy/Total Fair Market Value per Thousand = Mill Rate
- 2016-17 Mill Rate:
 - $\$15,113,859 / \$1,649,744,784 * 1000$
 - \$9.16 Mill Rate
 - \$916 on \$100,000 Home



Current Debt Payments




Assumption #1: Interest Rates



Assumption #2: Fair Market Valuation Growth




<u>Year</u>	<u>Valuation</u>	<u>% change</u>
2001	\$886,789,047	
2002	\$994,380,414	12.13%
2003	\$1,048,374,796	5.43%
2004	\$1,156,519,155	10.32%
2005	\$1,301,942,741	12.57%
2006	\$1,455,041,259	11.76%
2007	\$1,528,980,861	5.08%
2008	\$1,653,022,818	8.11%
2009	\$1,611,390,294	-2.52%
2010	\$1,593,620,129	-1.10%
2011	\$1,559,276,106	-2.16%
2012	\$1,527,337,360	-2.05%
2013	\$1,504,590,549	-1.49%
2014	\$1,555,183,769	3.36%
2015	\$1,597,165,767	2.70%
2016	\$1,649,744,784	3.29%



Fifteen Year Average Annual Growth Rate..... 4.23%

Assumption #3: Amortization Term



Tax Impact Assumptions



	2016 Referendum (\$87 Million)	Current
Interest Rate	2.75%	4.00%
Fair Market Value Growth	3.50%	2.00%
Amortization	20 Years	26 Years
State Aid	Flat 17.81%, No lag	Based upon actual 2016-17 Percentages with lag
Tax Impact	\$1.77	

Hypothetical Tax Impacts



Planning Estimates only. Changes in assumptions will require adjustments to financing plan.

REFERENDUM AMOUNT	\$40,000,000	\$60,000,000	\$80,000,000
MAX MILL RATE IMPACT (over 2017-18) <i>(Per \$1,000 valuation)</i>	\$0.68	\$1.17	\$1.66
TAX IMPACT ON PROPERTY WITH FAIR MARKET VALUE:			
\$100,000 Home			
<i>Annual Impact</i>	\$68.00	\$117.00	\$166.00
<i>Monthly Impact</i>	\$5.67	\$9.75	\$13.83
\$200,000 Home			
<i>Annual Impact</i>	\$136.00	\$234.00	\$332.00
<i>Monthly Impact</i>	\$11.33	\$19.50	\$27.67
\$300,000 Home			
<i>Annual Impact</i>	\$204.00	\$351.00	\$498.00
<i>Monthly Impact</i>	\$17.00	\$29.25	\$41.50

Note: The mill rate impact shown above will increase or decrease by approximately \$0.025 per \$1,000,000.

Is the Need for Referendum Unique to your District?

Since 2010:

- As of April 2017, over 72% of Wisconsin school districts have gone to referendum, many of them multiple times, for a total of 740 debt or revenue limit override questions

2017 Year to Date:

- 70 questions on the referendum ballot for the year
 - Over \$987 million of total funding requests in 2017
 - 24 questions for NR Revenue Limit increases totaling over \$261 million
 - 18 questions passed (75%) for a sum of \$227.844 million
 - 14 questions for RR Revenue Limit increases totaling over \$17 million
 - 8 questions passed (57%) for a sum of \$7.709 million
 - **32 questions for new Debt Authority totaling over \$707 million**
 - **17 questions passed (53%) for a sum of \$470.765 million**

WHY?

Issue Debt Question Breakdown	2017	# Passed	Pass %	Fail %
Over \$20M	10	6	60%	40%
Over \$10M to \$20M	8	5	63%	37%
Over \$5M to \$10M	7	4	57%	43%
\$5M or Less	7	2	29%	71%

Source: Department of Public Instruction-data as of April 2017

Questions?



Important Disclosures

The logo for Baird, consisting of the word "BAIRD" in white, uppercase, sans-serif font, set against a dark blue parallelogram background that is tilted to the right.

Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated (“Baird”) is not recommending that you take or not take any action. Baird is not acting as financial advisor or municipal advisor to you and does not owe a fiduciary duty pursuant to Section 15B of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to you with respect to the information contained herein and/or accompanying materials (collectively, the “Materials”). Baird is acting for its own interests. You should discuss the Materials with any and all internal or external advisors and experts that you deem appropriate before acting on the Materials.

Baird seeks to serve as underwriter in connection with a possible issuance of municipal securities you may be considering and not as financial advisor or municipal advisor. Baird is providing the Materials for discussion purposes only, in anticipation of being engaged to serve as underwriter (or placement agent).

The role of an underwriter includes the following: Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board Rule G-17 requires an underwriter to deal fairly at all times with both municipal issuers and investors. An underwriter’s primary role is to purchase the proposed securities to be issued with a view to distribution in an arm’s length commercial transaction with the issuer. An underwriter has financial and other interests that differ from those of the issuer. An underwriter may provide advice to the issuer concerning the structure, timing, terms, and other similar matters for an issuance of municipal securities. Any such advice, however, would be provided in the context of serving as an underwriter and not as municipal advisor, financial advisor or fiduciary. Unlike a municipal advisor, an underwriter does not have a fiduciary duty to the issuer under the federal securities laws and is therefore not required by federal law to act in the best interests of the issuer without regard to its own financial or other interests. An underwriter has a duty to purchase securities from the issuer at a fair and reasonable price but must balance that duty with its duty to sell those securities to investors at prices that are fair and reasonable. An underwriter will review the official statement (if any) applicable to the proposed issuance in accordance with, and as part of, its responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws, as applied to the facts and circumstances of the proposed issuance.

The Materials do not include any proposals, recommendations or suggestions that you take or refrain from taking any action with regard to an issuance of municipal securities and are not intended to be and should not be construed as “advice” within the meaning of Section 15B of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or Rule 15Ba1-1 thereunder. The Materials are intended to provide information of a factual, objective or educational nature, as well as general information about Baird (including its Public Finance unit) and its experience, qualifications and capabilities.

Any information or estimates contained in the Materials are based on publicly available data, including information about recent transactions believed to be comparable, and Baird’s experience, and are subject to change without notice. Baird has not independently verified the accuracy of such data. Interested parties are advised to contact Baird for more information.

If you have any questions or concerns about the above disclosures, please contact Baird Public Finance.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that the Materials do not constitute tax advice and shall not be used for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax penalties or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.